UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,058	11/19/2003	Hubert Jansen	102-548 CIP/CON (P-4136P1	7216
32752 DAVID W. HI	7590 02/05/200 GHET VP & CHIEF IP	EXAMINER		
DAVID W. HIGHET VP & CHIEF IP COUNSEL BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY			GRAY, PHILLIP A	
`	(Hoffman & Baron) 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110			PAPER NUMBER
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880	3767		
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
' Advisory Action	10/717,058	JANSEN ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
· ·	Phillip Gray	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre					
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			donment of				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	fidavit, or other evidenc compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	- ·						
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	٦.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment (P	'TOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 21-35.		II be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		C CA . 1 . 216 4					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is r	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	e because:				

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new considerations and elemental/operational/functions issues and therefore broaden the scope of the applicant's claim and would require further additional search and consideration...

PAG